Climate Research for Development (CR4D) End of Grant Workshop

21-23 June 2021 | Nairobi, Kenya





United Nations Economic Commission for Africa









Mainstreaming Climate Services for Resilient Agricultural Systems and Sustainable Development in Ghana

Prof. Philip Antwi-Agyei,

Associate Professor

Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana





Key message from the IPCC 1.5 °C Special Report of Global Warming

Humanity will need to make unprecedented changes to avoid extreme heat, floods and poverty.

This is particularly important in the context of sub-Saharan Africa where adaptive capacity is quite low.











Climate change – poses a big challenge for agriculture in Ghana

- Temperature is projected to increase by 2.0 °C and 3.9 °C by 2050 and 2080, respectively,
- In the same period, rainfall will decrease by 10.9% and 18.6% (GEPA, 2010).
 - threatens food security and presents significant challenges for the attainment of the SDGs & commitments under the Paris Agreement
- Improved access to timely climate information

offers prospects for smallholder farmers to maintain productivity and build resilient agricultural systems [Jones et al., 2015].

Empirical evidence on how to mainstream climate information services is lacking.











Aim and objectives

Aim: Assess how climate information can be mainstreamed to strengthen the resilience of agricultural systems to support sustainable development in Ghana.

Specific objectives

Determine the extent to which climate information services have been incorporated into existing national policies of Anglophone West African states.

Evaluate the accessibility and opportunities for using climate information in building resilient agricultural systems.

Assess the barriers to the uptake of climate information for building resilience in agricultural systems in Ghana.

Improve the capacity of agricultural development officers in communicating climate information for planning resilient agricultural systems in Ghana.

Research methods and analysis

The research adopted mixed-method approach

Policy analysis of national policy documents & sectoral plans

- National Climate Change Policy,
- Nationally Determined Contributions,
- Food and Agricultural Policy,
- ✤ National Communications to the UNFCCC,
- National Climate Change Adaptation Strategy,
- National Environmental Policy,
- Regional policy documents ECOWAS Agricultural Policy and the African Union's Agenda 2063 were also analysed.

Thematic content analysis (*identify emerging themes*)









Regional & district levels stakeholder workshops

Three (3) interactive workshops to:

i) understand the governance processes and structures at the regional and district levels for mainstreaming climate information.

ii) identify the challenges for mainstreaming climate information into district and regional plans and programmes.





Participatory methods

- Questionnaire surveys with 555 households selected randomly in 6 communities across 3 districts in the Upper East Region, Ghana (Sept. and Oct. 2019).
 - *identifying the types of climate information available to different socio-economic groups*
 - opportunities for using climate information.
 - communication pathways to end-users



Focus Group Discussions and Expert Interviews

- 12 focus group discussions were held
 - Discussions were in separate male and female focus groups

Expert interviews

- District level planning officers,
- District and regional agricultural development officers,
- ✤ Agricultural extension officers/agents,
- NGOs and CSOs.









Data Analysis

Qualitative data from focus group discussions and key expert interviews

thematic content analysis to draw patterns and identify emerging themes

For quantitative data Descriptive analysis where possible.

• To investigate the predictors that influence access to and willingness to pay.

logit regression technique in STATA was applied (Deressa et al., 2009).



















| | Ghana | | | | Nigeria | | | | Sierra Leone | | | The Gambia | | | | Liberia | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------|---------------|-----|---------|-----|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|---------------|------------|------|-----|------------|---------|-----|------|---------------|-----|
| Key terms | FASDEP II | NCCP | 1st UNFCCC | NDC | NCCAS | NAP | 1st UNFCCC | NDC | NAPA | NSADP | 1st UNFCCC | NDC | NAPA | NNP | 1st UNFCCC | NCCP | NDC | NCPS | 1st UNFCCC | NDC |
| Climate | 1 | 689 | 459 | 27 | 983 | 1 | 378 | 122 | 217 | 15 | 323 | 51 | 268 | 3 | 420 | 560 | 146 | 625 | 600 | 35 |
| Climate change | 1 | 545 | 381 | 10 | 826 | 0 | 279 | 87 | 157 | 10 | 239 | 35 | 149 | 0 | 271 | 376 | 92 | 430 | 391 | 23 |
| Climate information | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 1 |
| Climate service(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Climate information | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate change info | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| Climate change risk | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate change variability | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate variability | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | 2 | 11 | 9 | 0 |
| Climate change scenario (s) | 0 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Total | 2 | 1245 | 875 | 38 | 1833 | 1 | 672 | 217 | 387 | 25 | 585 | 86 | 421 | 3 | 783 | 947 | 243 | 1076 | 1027 | 59 |

Table 1: Reviews of national climate facing documents and policies of Anglophone West African states





| Key terms in climate services | African Agenda 2063 | Regional Agricultural Policy for West Africa | Land Policy in Africa: West Africa Regional Assessment | ECOWAS Policy for Disaster Risk Reduction |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|
| Climate | 13 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Climate change | 8 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| Climate information | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate service | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate information services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate change service(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate change adaptation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Climate change mitigation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate change variables(bility) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Climate variables(bility) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Climate resilient(ce) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Meteorological services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weather | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Weatherinformation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Forecast(ing) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 24 | 2 | 12 | 15 |

Table 2: Textual analysis of regional climate facing policies for West African sub-region



Results show that only 60% of the respondents received climate information in the 6 study communities

| Receipt of climate information | All Sample | Females | Males |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Yes | 60.4 (335) | 59.5 (132) | 61.0 (203) |
| No | 39.6 (220) | 40.5 (90) | 39.0 (130) |

Table 3: Receipt of climate information reported by smallholder farmers (%)







| Channel for receiving information | All Sample (N = 335) | Female (N = 132) | Males (N = 203) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Radio | 74.6 | 68.9 | 78.3 |
| Mobile Text Messaging | 36.4 | 48.5 | 28.6 |
| Phone Voice Messaging | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Newspaper | 4.5 | 3.0 | 5.4 |
| Workshop | 28.1 | 33.3 | 24.6 |
| Television | 2.4 | 0.8 | 3.4 |
| Extension Officers | 8.7 | 7.6 | 9.4 |
| Community Groups | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.4 |
| Social Media | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 |

Table 4: Medium for receiving climate information







Opportunities for using climate information in dryland farming systems

Male Female All Sample



Figure 1: % of respondents for using climate information for specific farming operations





| | All Sar | nple | Fem | ale | Male | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|--|
| | Coefficient | P-value | Coefficient | P-value | Coefficient | P-value | |
| Age | -0.030* | 0.100 | -0.016 | 0.527 | -0.028 | 0.253 | |
| Sex | 0.875** | 0.029 | | | | | |
| Farming experience | 0.479** | 0.015 | 0.172 | 0.658 | 0.576** | 0.026 | |
| Drought | 0.997* | 0.076 | 0.563 | 0.586 | 1.979** | 0.035 | |
| Training | 0.928** | 0.039 | 2.554*** | 0.004 | -0.169 | 0.951 | |
| Months HH struggle for food | -0.252** | 0.035 | -0.345 | 0.201 | -0.275* | 0.084 | |
| Credit facilities | 0.574 | 0.257 | 0.570 | 0.442 | 1.534** | 0.034 | |
| Radio | -0.568 | 0.269 | -0.547 | 0.404 | -0.322 | 0.726 | |
| Internet | -0.814 | 0.163 | -1.181 | 0.246 | -0.599 | 0.434 | |
| Mobilephone | -0.128 | 0.699 | 0.496 | 0.836 | 0.011 | 0.963 | |
| Member of a social group | -0.791*** | 0.004 | -2.303** | 0.048 | -0.477*** | 0.000 | |
| Educational level | 0.347* | 0.096 | 0.144 | 0.616 | 0.661*** | 0.005 | |
| Native of study community | -0.654* | 0.062 | -0.417 | 0.107 | -1.281* | 0.092 | |
| P-value > Chi Square | 0.000 | | 0.002 | | 0.000 | | |

| | All Sample | | Female | | Male | | Difference | |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | dy/dx | P-value | dy/dx (M _f) | P-value | dy/dx (M _m) | P-value | $M_f - M_m$ | P-value |
| Age | -0.004 | 0.800 | -0.004 | 0.846 | -0.004 | 0.280 | 0.000 | 0.957 |
| Sex | 0.181*** | 0.005 | | | | | | |
| Marital status | 0.045 | 0.530 | 0.067 | 0.260 | -0.018 | 0.771 | 0.085*** | 0.000 |
| Born in the community | -0.112 | 0.110 | -0.175 | 0.761 | -0.142 | 0.843 | -0.033 | 0.531 |
| Drought | 0.037 | 0.152 | 0.065 | 0.259 | 0.027 | 0.230 | 0.038 | 0.200 |
| Training | 0.160 | 0.543 | 0.278*** | 0.001 | 0.097 | 0.183 | 0.181*** | 0.000 |
| Struggle for food | -0.206*** | 0.001 | -0.321*** | 0.003 | -0.157* | 0.071 | -0.164*** | 0.008 |
| Credit facilities | 0.207*** | 0.008 | 0.230*** | 0.007 | 0.154** | 0.022 | 0.076 | 0.209 |
| Regular remittance | 0.149*** | 0.001 | 0.127 | 0.892 | 0.174** | 0.049 | -0.047 | 0.384 |
| Ready markets | 0.121** | 0.040 | 0.166 | 0.400 | 0.154* | 0.052 | 0.012 | 0.826 |
| Television | 0.128 | 0.198 | 0.055 | 0.628 | 0.202* | 0.100 | -0.147*** | 0.005 |
| Radio | -0.166* | 0.084 | -0.150 | 0.217 | -0.120 | 0.460 | -0.030 | 0.549 |
| Mobile Phone | -0.120 | 0.211 | -0.114 | 0.731 | -0.167 | 0.434 | 0.053 | 0.317 |

Objective 3 – Assess the barriers to the uptake of climate forecast/information for building resilience in agricultural systems in Ghana

| Perceived barriers to the uptake of climate information | N | % |
|---|-----|------|
| Inadequate information on seasonal forecast for long-term planning | 449 | 80.9 |
| Low accessibility of climate information (lack of TV, radios etc.) | 434 | 78.2 |
| High illiteracy levels | 426 | 76.8 |
| Lack of awareness of climate information | 414 | 74.6 |
| Timeliness of climate forecast/information | 360 | 64.9 |
| Lack of reliability of climate forecast/information | 349 | 62.9 |
| Not sure how to get this climate information | 305 | 55.0 |
| Misalignment between climate information provided and what is needed by farmers | 300 | 54.1 |
| The information is difficult to understand (technical language) | 298 | 53.7 |
| Not clear how this information can be used to help with farming | 277 | 49.9 |

Table 7: Barriers constraining the uptake of climate information





Conclusion

- Climate change is a key threat to agricultural development in Anglophone West Africa.
- Climate Information Services (CIS) have not been incorporated into climate facing strategic national policy documents of Anglophone West Africa countries.
- Challenges impeding the incorporation of CIS into national policies and sectoral plans
 - low awareness of climate change among policy makers,
 - low prioritisation of CIS,
 - human and institutional capacity constraints and,
 - low appreciation of the vulnerability status of various local assemblies.
- More than a third of the respondents (40%; n = 555) were not receiving climate information.
- Access to and willingness to pay for climate information is greatly influenced by different socioeconomic characteristics with gender being key.



United Nations Economic Commission for Africa



WISEP

Conclusion

- Farmers use climate information for important decisions including land preparation and crop variety selection.
- The uptake of climate information is confronted with multiple barriers including
 - inadequate information on seasonal forecast for long-term planning,
 - low accessibility of climate information,
 - difficulties in understanding technical language used in communicating climate information
 - misalignment between the climate information provided and what is needed by smallholder farmers.











Journal articles published

- Antwi-Agyei et al., (2021). Understanding climate services for enhancing resilient agricultural systems in Anglophone West Africa: The case of Ghana. Climate Services. 2, 100218 <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405880721000066</u>,
- Antwi-Agyei et al., (2020). Predictors of access to and willingness to pay for climate information services in north-eastern Ghana: A gendered perspective. Environmental Development <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2020.100580</u>.
- Antwi-Agyei, P., Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H. (2021). Evidence of Climate Change Coping and Adaptation Practices by Smallholder Farmers in Northern Ghana. Sustainability 2021, 13, 1308. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031308.
- Antwi-Agyei et al., (2021). Opportunities and barriers for using climate information for building resilient agricultural systems in Sudan savannah agro-ecological zone of northeastern Ghana. Climate Services, 22, 100226.

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405880721000145.

 Antwi-Agyei, P.; Stringer, L.C. (2021). Improving the effectiveness of agricultural extension services in supporting farmers to adapt to climate change: insights from northeastern Ghana. Climate Risk Management, 33, 100304, <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212096321000334</u>.

















Contact us to join our mailing list

communications@aasciences.africa





www.aasciences.africa/aesa

